



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,492	12/13/2001	Viktors Berstis	AUS920011012US1	6564
7590	02/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert H. Frantz P.O. Box 23324 Oklahoma City, OK 73123			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,492	BERSTIS, VIKTORS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sath Perungavoor	2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sath Perungavoor. (3) Robert Frantz.
 (2) Yon Couso. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Resnikoff et al. and Tansley.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative stated that their invention is a predictable deterministic distribution schema and the examiner stated Resinkoff et al.'s look up table would read on it. Applicant's representative agreed with the examiner on this issue. Applicant's representative also stated Tansley does not teach the claimed limitations as applied on the 103 (a) rejection. Examiner stated that he will give this issue further consideration. Applicant's representative also stated their invention is directed toward uniformly spaced data samples. Examiner stated that he will also give this issue further consideration.